Cycles of each even lengths in balanced bipartite digraphs

Samvel Kh. Darbinyan
Institute for Informatics and Automation Problems, Armenian National Academy of Sciences
E-mails: samdarbin@ipia.sci.am

arXiv:1607.04074v1 [math.CO] 14 Jul 2016

Abstract

Let D be a strongly connected balanced bipartite directed graph of order $2a \geq 4$. Let x, y be distinct vertices in D. $\{x, y\}$ dominates a vertex z if $x \to z$ and $y \to z$; in this case, we call the pair $\{x, y\}$ dominating. In this paper we prove:

(i). *If $a \geq 4$ and $max\{d(x), d(y)\} \geq 2a-1$ for every dominating pair of vertices $\{x, y\}$, D then contains a cycle of length $2a-2$ or D is a directed cycle.*

(ii). *If D contains a cycle of length $2a-2 \geq 6$ and $max\{d(x), d(y)\} \geq 2a-2$ for every dominating pair of vertices $\{x, y\}$, then for any k, $1 \leq k \leq a-1$, D contains a cycle of length $2k$.*

(iii). *If $a \geq 4$ and $max\{d(x), d(y)\} \geq 2a-1$ for every dominating pair of vertices $\{x, y\}$, then for every k, $1 \leq k \leq a$, D contains a cycle of length $2k$ unless D is isomorphic to only one exceptional digraph of order eight.*

Keywords: Digraphs, cycles, Hamiltonian cycles, bipartite balanced digraph, pancyclic, even pancyclic, longest non-Hamiltonian cycles.

1 Introduction

We consider directed graphs (digraphs) in the sense of [3]. For convenience of the reader terminology and notations will be given in details in section 2. A cycle (path) is called Hamiltonian if it includes all the vertices of D. A digraph D is Hamiltonian if it contains a Hamiltonian cycle and is pancyclic if it contains a cycle of length k for any $3 \leq k \leq n$, where n is the order of D. A balanced bipartite digraph of order $2m$ is even pancyclic if it contains a cycle of length $2k$ for any k, $2 \leq k \leq m$.

Bondy suggested [6] the following interesting "metaconjecture":

Almost any non-trivial condition of a graph (digraph) which that the graph (digraph) is Hamiltonian also implies that the graph (digraph) is pancyclic. (There may be a "simple" family of exceptional graphs (digraphs)).

There are numerous sufficient conditions for the existence of a Hamiltonian cycle in a graph (digraph) for which "metaconjecture" of Bondy is true.

In this note, we will concerted with the degree conditions. Let us recall the following well-known degree conditions (theorems 1.1-1.4) that guarantee that a digraph is Hamiltonian.

Theorem 1.1 (Ghouila-Houri [12]). *Let D be a strongly connected digraph of order $n \geq 3$. If $d(x) \geq n$ for all vertices $x \in V(D)$, then D is Hamiltonian.*

Theorem 1.2 (Woodall [20]). *Let D be a digraph of order $n \geq 3$. If $d^+(x) + d^-(y) \geq n$ for all pairs of vertices x and y such that there is no arc from x to y, then D is Hamiltonian.*

Theorem 1.3 (Meyniel [16]). *Let D be a strongly connected digraph of order $n \geq 2$. If $d(x) + d(y) \geq 2n - 1$ for all pairs of non-adjacent vertices in D, then D is Hamiltonian.*

Notice that Meyniel's theorem is a common generalization of Ghouila-Houri's and Woodall's theorems. For a short proof of Theorem 1.3, see [7].

C. Thomassen [18] (for $n = 2k + 1$) and S. Darbinyan [9] (for $n = 2k$) proved the following theorem below. Before stating it (for any integer $m \geq 2$) we need to introduce some additional notations.

Let $H(m, m)$ denote the set of digraphs D of order $2m$ with vertex set $A \cup B$ such that $\langle A \rangle \equiv \langle B \rangle \equiv K_m^*$, there is no arc from B to A, $d^+(x, B) \geq 1$ and $d^-(y, A) \geq 1$ for every vertices $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

Let $H(m, m-1, 1)$ denote the set of digraphs D of order $2m$ with vertex set $A \cup B \cup \{a\}$ such that $|A| = |B| + 1 = m$, $\langle B \cup \{a\} \rangle \subseteq K_m^*$ (i.e., $\langle B \cup \{a\} \rangle$ is an arbitrary digraph) the subdigraph $\langle A \rangle$ has no arc, D contains all possible arcs between A and B and either $N^-(a) = B$ and $A \subseteq N^+(a)$, or $N^+(a) = B$ and $A \subseteq N^-(a)$.

Let $H(2m)$ denote a digraph of order $2m$ with vertex set $A \cup B \cup \{x, y\}$ such that $\langle A \cup \{x\} \rangle \equiv \langle B \cup \{y\} \rangle \equiv K_m^*$, there is no are between A and B, $H(2m)$ also contains all arcs of the form ya, bx, where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, and either the arc xy or both arcs xy and yx.

Let D_6 be a digraph with vertex set $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_5, x\}$ and arc set

$$\{x_i x_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \cup \{x x_i / 1 \leq i \leq 3\} \cup \{x_1x_5, x_2x_5, x_5x_1, x_5x_4, x_3x_2, x_3x, x_4x_1, x_4x\}.$$

By D_6' we denote a digraph obtained from D_6 by adding the arc x_2x_4. Note that the digraphs D_6 and D_6' both are not Hamiltonian and each of D_6 and D_6' contains a cycle of length 5.

Theorem 1.4 (Thomassen [18], Darbinyan [9]). *If D is a digraph of order $n \geq 5$ with minimum degree at least $n - 1$ and with minimum semi-degree at least $n/2 - 1$. Then D is Hamiltonian unless*

(i) D is isomorphic to D_5 or D_7 or $[(K_m \cup K_m) + K_1]^*$ or $K_{m,m+1}^* \subseteq D \subseteq [K_m + \overline{K}_{m+1}]^*$, if $n = 2m + 1$;

(ii) $D \in H(m, m) \cup H(m, m-1, 1) \cup \{H(2m),\ H'(2m),\ D_6,\ D_6', \overleftarrow{D_6}, \overleftarrow{D_6'}\}$, if $n = 2m$. (The digraphs D_5 and D_7 are well-known and for definitions of their, see, for example, [18]). □

In [15, 17, 8, 10] it was shown that if a digraph D satisfies the condition one of Theorems 1.1-1.4, respectively, then D also is pancyclic (unless some extremal cases which are characterized).

Characterizations of even pancyclic bipartite tournaments was given in [5] and [21]. A characterizations of pancyclic ordinary k-partite ($k \geq 3$) tournaments was established in [13]. A characterizations of pancyclic ordinary complete k-partite ($k \geq 3$) digraphs was derived in [14]. Amar and Manoussakis [2] gave several sufficient conditions on the half-degrees of a bipartite digraph for the existence of cycles and paths of various lengths.

Each of Theorems 1.1-1.4 imposes a degree condition on all pairs of nonadjacent vertices (or on all vertices). In [2, 4] were shown some sufficient conditions for hamiltonicity of digraphs in which the degree conditions require only for some pairs of nonadjacent vertices. Let us recall of them only the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5 (Bang-Jensen, Gutin, H.Li [4]). *Let D be a strongly connected digraph of order $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $min\{d(x), d(y)\} \geq n - 1$ and $d(x) + d(y) \geq 2n - 1$ for any pair of nonadjacent vertices x, y with a common in-neighbor. Then D is Hamiltonian.*

An analogue of Theorem 1.5 for bipartite digraphs was given by R. Wang [19] and recently strengthened by the author [11].

Theorem 1.6 (R. Wang [19]). *Let D be a strongly connected balanced bipartite digraph of order $2a$, where $a \geq 1$. Suppose that, for every dominating pair of vertices $\{x, y\}$, either $d(x) \geq 2a-1$ and $d(y) \geq a+1$ or $d(y) \geq 2a-1$ and $d(x) \geq a+1$. Then D is Hamiltonian.*

Before stating the next theorem we need to define a digraph of order eight.

Definition. Let $D(8)$ be a bipartite digraph with partite sets $X = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $Y = \{y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, and let $D(8)$ contains the arcs y_0x_1, y_1x_0, x_2y_3, x_3y_2 and all the arcs of the following 2-cycles: $x_i \leftrightarrow y_i$, $i \in [0,3]$, $y_0 \leftrightarrow x_2$, $y_0 \leftrightarrow x_3$, $y_1 \leftrightarrow x_2$ and it $y_1 \leftrightarrow x_3$, and it contains no other arcs.

It is not difficult to check that $D(8)$ is strongly connected, $max\{d(x), d(y)\} \geq 2a-2$ for every pair of vertices $\{x, y\}$ with a common in-neighbor and is not Hamiltonian.

We also need the following definition.

Definition. Let D be a balanced bipartite digraph of order $2a$, where $a \geq 2$, and let k be an integer. We say that D satisfies condition B_k if for every dominating pair of vertices x and y, $max\{d(x), d(y)\} \geq 2a-2+k$.

Theorem 1.7 (Darbinyan [11]). *Let D be a strongly connected balanced bipartite digraph of order $2a$, where $a \geq 4$. Suppose that $max\{d(x), d(y)\} \geq 2a-1$, for every dominating pair of vertices $\{x, y\}$. Then either D is Hamiltonian or isomorphic to the digraph $D(8)$.*

Motivated by the Bondy's "metaconjecture", it is natural to set the following problem:

Characterize those digraphs which satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.6 (1.7) but are not even pancyclic.

In this note we prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.8. *Let D be a strongly connected balanced bipartite digraph of order $2a \geq 8$ with partite sets X and Y. Suppose that D satisfies condition B_1, i.e., $max\{d(x), d(y)\} \geq 2a-1$ for every dominating pair of vertices $\{x, y\}$. Then D contains a cycle of length $2a-2$ or D is a directed cycle.*

Theorem 1.9. *Let D be a strongly connected balanced bipartite digraph of order $2a \geq 8$ with partite sets X and Y. If D contains a cycle of length $2a-2$ and satisfies condition B_0, i.e., $max\{d(x), d(y)\} \geq 2a-2$ for every dominating pair of vertices $\{x, y\}$, then D contains a cycle of length $2k$ for all k, where $1 \leq k \leq a-1$.*

Theorem 1.10. *Let D be a strongly connected balanced bipartite digraph of order $2a \geq 8$ with partite sets X and Y. If D is not a directed cycle and $max\{d(x), d(y)\} \geq 2a-1$ for every dominating pair of vertices $\{x, y\}$, then either D contains cycles of all even lengths less than equal to $2a$ or D is isomorphic to the digraph $D(8)$.*

Of course, Theorem 1.10 is an immediate corollary of Theorems 1.7-1.9.

2 Terminology and Notations

In this paper we consider finite digraphs without loops and multiple arcs. Terminology and notations not described below follow [2]. For a digraph D, we denote by $V(D)$ the vertex set of D and by $A(D)$ the set of arcs in D. The order of D is the number of its vertices. The arc of a digraph D directed from

x to y is denoted by xy or $x \to y$ (we also say that x dominates y or y is an out-neighbour of x and x is an in-neighbour of y), and $x \leftrightarrow y$ denotes that $x \to y$ and $y \to x$ ($x \leftrightarrow y$ is called 2-cycle). If $x \to y$ and $y \to z$ we write $x \to y \to z$. If there is no arc from x to y we shall use the notation $xy \notin A(D)$. For disjoint subsets A and B of $V(D)$ we define $A(A \to B)$ as the set $\{xy \in A(D)/x \in A, y \in B\}$ and $A(A,B) = A(A \to B) \cup A(B \to A)$. If $x \in V(D)$ and $A = \{x\}$ we sometimes write x instead of $\{x\}$. If A and B are two disjoint subsets of $V(D)$ such that every vertex of A dominates every vertex of B, then we say that A dominates B, denoted by $A \to B$. $A \mapsto B$ means that $A \to B$ and there is no arc from B to A. Similarly, $A \leftrightarrow B$ means that $A \to B$ and $B \to A$. The out-neighborhood of a vertex x is the set $N^+(x) = \{y \in V(D)/xy \in A(D)\}$ and $N^-(x) = \{y \in V(D)/yx \in A(D)\}$ is the in-neighborhood of x. Similarly, if $A \subseteq V(D)$, then $N^+(x,A) = \{y \in A/xy \in A(D)\}$ and $N^-(x,A) = \{y \in A/yx \in A(D)\}$. The out-degree of x is $d^+(x) = |N^+(x)|$ and $d^-(x) = |N^-(x)|$ is the in-degree of x. Similarly, $d^+(x,A) = |N^+(x,A)|$ and $d^-(x,A) = |N^-(x,A)|$. The degree of the vertex x in D is defined as $d(x) = d^+(x) + d^-(x)$ (similarly, $d(x,A) = d^+(x,A) + d^-(x,A)$). The subdigraph of D induced by a subset A of $V(D)$ is denoted by $D\langle A\rangle$ or $\langle A\rangle$ brevity. The path (respectively, the cycle) consisting of the distinct vertices $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$ ($m \geq 2$) and the arcs x_ix_{i+1}, $i \in [1, m-1]$ (respectively, x_ix_{i+1}, $i \in [1, m-1]$, and x_mx_1), is denoted by $x_1x_2\cdots x_m$ (respectively, $x_1x_2\cdots x_mx_1$). The length of a cycle or a path is the number of its arcs. We say that $x_1x_2\cdots x_m$ is a path from x_1 to x_m or is an (x_1, x_m)-path. The length of a cycle or a path is the number of its arcs.

If P is a path containing a subpath from x to y we let $P[x,y]$ denote that subpath. Similarly, if C is a cycle containing vertices x and y, $C[x,y]$ denotes the subpath of C from x to y. Given a vertex x of a path P or a cycle C, we denote by x^+ (respectively, by x^-) the successor (respectively, the predecessor) of x (on P or C), and in case of ambiguity, we precise P or C as a subscript (that is x_P^+ ...).

A digraph D is strongly connected (or, just, strong) if there exists a path from x to y and a path from y to x for every pair of distinct vertices x, y.

Two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if $xy \in A(D)$ or $yx \in A(D)$ (or both). For integers a and b, $a \leq b$, let $[a,b]$ denote the set of all the integers which are not less than a and are not greater than b. Let C be a non-Hamiltonian cycle in digraph D. An (x,y)-path P is a C-bypass if $|V(P)| \geq 3$, $x \neq y$ and $V(P) \cap V(C) = \{x, y\}$. The length of the path $C[x,y]$ is the gap of P with respect to C.

A digraph D is a bipartite if there exists a partition X, Y of $V(D)$ into two partite sets such that every arc of D has its end-vertices in different partite sets. It is called balanced if $|X| = |Y|$. The underlying graph of a digraph D is denoted by $UG(D)$, it contains an edge xy if $x \to y$ or $y \to x$ (or both).

3 Preliminaries

Let us recall some results (Lemmas 3.1-3 .3) which will be used in this paper.

Lemma 3.1 ([11]). *Let D be a strongly connected balanced bipartite digraph of order $2a \geq 8$. If D satisfies condition B_1, then the following holds:*

(i) $UG(D)$ is 2-connected;

(ii) if C is a cycle of length m, $2 \leq m \leq 2a-2$, then D contains a C-bypass.

Lemma 3.2 ([11]). *Let D be a strongly connected balanced bipartite digraph of order $2a \geq 8$ other than the directed cycle of length $2a$. If D satisfies condition B_0, then D has a non-Hamiltonian cycle of length at least four.*

Lemma 3.3 ([1]). *Let D be a bipartite digraph of order n which contains a cycle C of length $2b$, where $2 \leq 2b \leq n-1$. Let x be a vertex not contained in C. If $d(x, V(C)) \geq b+1$, then D contains*

cycles of every even length m, $2 \le m \le 2b$, through x.

Now we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let D be a strongly connected balanced bipartite digraph of order $2a \ge 8$ with partite sets X and Y. Assume that D satisfies condition B_0. Let $C = x_1y_1x_2y_2 \dots x_ky_kx_1$ be a longest non-Hamiltonian cycle in D, where $k \ge 2$, $x_i \in X$ and $y_i \in Y$. If in D there exists a C-bypass whose gap with respect to C is equal to one, then $k = a-1$, i.e., the longest non-Hamiltonian cycle in D has length equal to $2a-2$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Without loss of generality, we assume that $P := x_1u_1u_2 \dots u_sy_1$ ($s \ge 1$) is a C-bypass. Suppose that the lemma is not true, that is $k \le a-2$. Then $u_1 \in Y$ and $u_s \in X$ and $R := V(D) \setminus V(C) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s\}$. Note that $|R| = s \ge 4$. Since C is a longest non-Hamiltonian cycle in D, it is not difficult to show that

$$d^+(u_1, \{u_3, u_4, \dots, , u_s\}) = d^-(u_s, \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{s-2}\}) = d^+(x_1, \{u_2, u_3, \dots, u_s\}) = 0, \tag{1}$$

and the following arcs

$$u_{s-1}x_2,\ y_ku_2,\ x_ku_1,\ u_sy_2,\ u_3x_2, x_1u_3,\ y_{k-1}x_1,\ y_1x_3,\ u_2y_1 \tag{2}$$

are not in $A(D)$ (for otherwise, D contains a non-Hamiltonian cycle longer than C). Note that $\{u_s, x_1\}$ is a dominating pair. Therefore, by condition B_0 we have

$$max\{d(x_1), d(u_s)\} \ge 2a-2. \tag{3}$$

If $|R| = s \ge 6$, then from (1) and (2) we have $d^-(u_s, \{u_1, u_3\}) = d^+(x_1, \{u_3, u_5\}) = 0$ and the arcs u_sy_2 and $y_{k-1}x_1$ are not in $A(D)$. Therefore, $d(u_s)$ and $d(x_1) \le 2a-3$, which contradicts (3).

Assume therefore that $|R| = 4$ (i.e., $s = 4$) and consider the following two cases.

Case 1. *The vertices u_1 and u_4 are not adjacent.*

Then

$$d(u_1) \le 2a-3 \quad \text{and} \quad d(u_4) \le 2a-3 \tag{4}$$

since x_ku_1 and $u_4y_2 \notin A(D)$ by (2). From (3) and the second inequality of (4) it follows that $d(x_1) \ge 2a-2$. By (2) we have x_1u_3 and $y_{k-1}x_1 \notin A(D)$. This together with $d(x_1) \ge 2a-2$ implies that $\{u_1, u_3, y_1\} \to x_1$. In particular, $\{u_1, u_3\}$ is a dominating pair. From the first inequality of (4) and condition B_0 it follows that $d(u_3) \ge 2a-2$. On the other hand, by (2) we have x_1u_3 and $u_3x_2 \notin A(D)$. Hence, $u_4 \to u_3 \to u_2$, $x_k \to u_3$. Thus, $\{u_2, u_4\} \to u_3$, which means that $\{u_2, u_4\}$ is a dominating pair. Therefore, by condition B_0, $d(u_2) \ge 2a-2$ since $d(u_4) \ge 2a-3$ by (4). From $d(u_2) \ge 2a-2$ and $y_ku_2 \notin A(D)$, $u_2y_1 \notin A(D)$ (by (2)) it follows that $u_2 \to u_1$. Thus, $x_ku_3u_2u_1x_1y_1 \dots y_{k-1}x_k$ is a cycle of length $2a-2$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. *The vertices u_1 and u_4 are adjacent.*

Then, by (1), we have $u_4 \mapsto u_1$. We divide this case into two subcases.

Subcase 2.1. $d(x_1) \le 2a-3$.

Then $d(u_4) \ge 2a-2$ because of (3) (recall that $s = 4$). Then, since, by (1) and (2), the arcs u_1u_4 and u_4y_2 are not in $A(D)$, it follows that

$$d(u_4) = 2a-2, \quad y_1 \to u_4 \to u_3 \quad \text{and} \quad y_2 \to u_4. \tag{5}$$

If $u_3 \to x_3$, then by (5) we have that $y_1u_4u_1u_2u_3x_3 \dots y_1$ is a cycle of length $2a-2$, a contradiction. Assume therefore that $u_3x_3 \notin A(D)$ (possibly $x_3 = x_1$). This together with $x_1u_3 \notin A(D)$ and $u_3x_2 \notin$

$A(D)$ (by (2)) implies that $d(u_3) \leq 2a-3$. Therefore, by condition B_0 we have,

$$d(y_1) \geq 2a-2 \quad \text{and} \quad d(y_2) \geq 2a-2 \tag{6}$$

since $\{u_3, y_1, y_2\} \to u_4$ by (5).

Assume that $k \geq 3$, i.e., the cycle C has length at least 6. Since D contains the following arcs $x_1 \to u_1, u_4 \to y_1, u_4 \to u_1$ and $y_1 \to u_4$, it is not difficult to show that $y_2x_4 \notin A(D)$ and $u_2y_2 \notin A(D)$ (possibly $x_4 = x_1$) (for otherwise, if $y_2 \to x_4$, then the cycle $x_1u_1u_2u_3u_4y_1x_2y_2x_4 \dots x_1$ has length $2a-2$; if $u_2 \to y_2$, then now the cycle $x_1y_1u_4u_1u_2y_2 \dots x_1$ has length $2a-2$, which is a contradiction). This together with $u_4y_2 \notin A(D)$ (by (2)) gives $d(y_2) \leq 2a-3$. This contradicts the second inequality of (6).

Now assume that $k = 2$. It is easy to see that $y_1x_1 \notin A(D)$ (for otherwise, $y_1x_1u_1u_2u_3u_4y_1$ is a cycle of length 6). This, $u_2y_1 \notin A(D)$ and $d(y_1) \geq 2a-2$ (by (6)) imply that $y_1 \to u_2$ and $x_2 \to y_1$. For the vertex y_2 we have that $u_4y_2 \notin A(D)$, $y_2u_2 \notin A(D)$ and $x_1 \to y_2 \to x_2$, $u_2 \to y_2$ because of the second inequality of (6). Therefore, $y_2x_1y_1u_4u_1u_2y_2$ is a cycle of length 6, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. $d(x_1) \geq 2a-2$.

By (2) we have x_1u_3 and $y_{k-1}x_1 \notin A(D)$. This together with $d(x_1) \geq 2a-2$ implies that $d(x_1) = 2a-2$ and

$$u_1 \to x_1, \ u_3 \mapsto x_1, \ x_1 \to y_k. \tag{7}$$

Assume that $k \geq 3$. Then, because of $y_{k-1}x_1 \notin A(D)$, $y_{k-1} \neq y_1$ and (7), we have

$$\{u_1, u_3, y_1\} \to x_1.$$

It is not difficult to show that $d^+(y_k, \{u_2, u_4\}) = 0$ (since $\{u_1, u_3\} \to x_1$ and $u_4 \to u_1$), and $x_{k-1}y_k \notin A(D)$ (if $x_{k-1} \to y_k$, then $x_1u_1u_2u_3u_4y_1 \dots x_{k-1}y_kx_1$ is a cycle of length $2a-2$). Therefore, $d(y_k) \leq 2a-3$. Then from condition B_0 we have $d(u_1) \geq 2a-2$ and $d(y_1) \geq 2a-2$, since $\{u_1, y_1, y_k\} \to x_1$. The inequality $d(u_1) \geq 2a-2$ together with u_1u_4 and $x_ku_1 \notin A(D)$ implies that $u_1 \leftrightarrow x_2$. Now we have that the arcs y_1u_4, u_2y_1 and y_1x_3 are not in $A(D)$. Thus $d(y_1) \leq 2a-3$, which contradicts that $d(y_1) \geq 2a-2$.

Now assume that $k = 2$. We consider the vertex y_2. It is easy to see that $y_2u_2 \notin A(D)$ and $d(y_2, \{u_4\}) = 0$ (if $y_2 \to u_4$, then, by (7), we have that $y_2u_4u_1x_1y_1x_2y_2$) is a cycle of length 6. Therefore, $d(y_2) \leq 2a-3$. This together with condition B_0 implies that $d(u_3) \geq 2a-2$, since $\{y_2, u_3\} \to x_1$. The inequality $d(u_3) \geq 2a-2$ together with x_1u_3, $u_3x_2 \notin A(D)$ implies that $x_2 \to u_3$. Hence, the cycle $x_2u_3u_4u_1x_1y_1x_2$ is a cycle of length 6, a contradiction. Lemma 3.4 is proved. □

4 The proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Suppose, on the contrary, that D is not a directed cycle and D contains no cycle of length $2a-2$. Let $C = x_1y_1x_2y_2 \dots x_ky_kx_1$ be a longest non-Hamiltonian cycle in D, where $x_i \in X$ and $y_i \in Y$. By Lemma 3.2, D contains a non-Hamiltonian cycle of length at least 4, i.e., $2 \leq k \leq a-2$. By Lemma 3.1(ii), D contains a C-bypass. Let $P := uu_1 \dots u_sv$ be a C-bypass ($s \geq 1$). Suppose that the gap of P is minimum among the gaps of all C-bypasses.

From Lemma 3.4 it follows that $|V(C[u,v])| \geq 3$. The proof splits into cases, depending on the length of C-bypass P and on the length of $C[u,v]$.

Case 1. $s \geq 2$.

Then $2 \leq s \leq |V(C[u,v])| - 2$. Note that $\{u_s, v_C^-\}$ is a dominating pair. Since C is a longest non-Hamiltonian cycle in D and C-bypass P has the minimum gap among the gaps of all C-bypasses, it follows that v_C^- is not adjacent to any vertex on $P[u_1, u_s]$ and u_s is not adjacent to any vertex on $C[u_C^+, v_C^-]$.

Notice that each of $P[u_1, u_s]$ and $C[u_C^+, v_C^-]$ contains at least one vertex from each partite set. Therefore, $max\{d(u_s), d(v_C^-)\} \leq 2a-2$, which contradicts condition B_1 since $\{u_s, v_C^-\}$ is a dominating pair.

Case 2. $s = 1$.

It is easy to see that u and v belong to the same partite set and $|V(C[u,v])|$ is odd. Now, without loss of generality, assume that $x_1 = u$, $v = x_r$ and $u_1 = y$. Then $y \in Y$. Denote $R := V(D) \setminus V(C)$ and $C' := V(C[y_1, y_{r-1}])$. Now we consider two subcases ($r \geq 3$ and $r = 2$).

Subcase 2.1. $r \geq 3$.

Let x be an arbitrary vertex of $X \cap R$. Note that $\{y, y_{r-1}\}$ is a dominating pair. Recall that y is not adjacent to any vertices of C'. Therefore,

$$d(y) \leq 2a-2 \quad \text{and} \quad d(x_i) \leq 2a-2 \quad \text{for all} \quad x_i \in X \cap C', \tag{8}$$

since $X \cap C' \neq \emptyset$. The first inequality of (8) together with condition B_1 implies that

$$d(y_{r-1}) \geq 2a-1,$$

which in turn implies that y_{r-1} and every vertex of X are adjacent. In particular, y_{r-1} and x are adjacent.

Assume first that $x \to y_{r-1}$. Then $\{x, x_{r-1}\}$ is a dominating pair. By the second inequality of (8) we have $d(x_{r-1}) \leq 2a-2$. On the other hand, since C-bypass P has the minimum gap among the gaps of all C-bypasses, it follows that y_1x and $yx \notin A(D)$. Therefore, $d(x) \leq 2a-2$. Thus, $max\{d(x), d(x_{r-1})\} \leq 2a-2$, which is a contradiction since $\{x, x_{r-1}\}$ is a dominating pair.

Assume second that $xy_{r-1} \notin A(D)$. Then $y_{r-1} \to x$, since x and y_{r-1} are adjacent. By the arbitrariness of x, we may assume that $y_{r-1} \mapsto X \cap R$. Combining this with $d(y_{r-1}) \geq 2a-1$ we obtain that $|R| = 2$, i.e., $|V(C)| = 2a-2$, which contradicts the supposition that D contains no cycle of length $2a-2$.

Subcase 2.2. $r = 2$.

Now note that $\{y, y_1\}$ is a dominating pair. By condition B_1 , $max\{d(y), d(y_1)\} \geq 2a-1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $d(y) \geq 2a-1$ (if $d(y_1) \geq 2a-1$, then we will consider the cycle $Q := x_1yx_2 \dots x_1$, which has the same length as C and $x_1y_1x_2$ is a Q-bypass), which in turn implies that y and every vertex of X are adjacent. Notice that $|X \cap R| \geq 2$, since $|R| \geq 4$. This together with $d(y) \geq 2a-1$ implies that there exists a vertex $x \in X \cap R$ such that $x \leftrightarrow y$. To complete the proof we now will prove the following three claims below.

Claim 1. For any $x_i \in X \cap V(C)$, if $x_i \to y$, then $xy_i \notin A(D)$; if $y \to x_i$, then $y_{i-1}x \notin A(D)$.

Indeed, for otherwise if $x_i \to y$ and $x \to y_i$, then the cycle $x_iyxy_ix_{i+1} \dots x_1$ is longer than C, and if $y \to x_i$ and $y_{i-1} \to x$, the cycle $y_{i-1}xyx_iy_i \dots y_{i-1}$ is longer than C, a contradiction to the maximality of C. □

From Claim 1 it immediately follows the following :

Claim 2. If there exists a vertex $x_i \in X \cap V(C)$ such that $x_i \to y \to x_{i+1}$, then x and y_i are not adjacent. □

Claim 3. If there is a vertex $x_i \in X \cap V(C)$ such that $x_i \leftrightarrow y$, then $x_{i+1} \mapsto y$ and $y \mapsto x_{i-1}$ are impossible.

Proof of Claim 3. Suppose, on the contrary, that there is a vertex $x_i \in X \cap V(C)$ such that $x_i \leftrightarrow y$ and $x_{i+1} \mapsto y$ or $y \mapsto x_{i-1}$. Combining this with $d(y) \geq 2a-1$, we obtain that y and every vertex of $X \cap R$ form a 2-cycle. In particular, any two vertices of $X \cap R$ form a dominating pair. If $x_{i+1} \mapsto y$, then

$y \to x_{i+2}$ and, by Claim 2, the vertex y_{i+1} and every vertex z of $X \cap R$ are nonadjacent. If $y \mapsto x_{i-1}$, then $y \to x_{i+1}$ and, by Claim 2, the vertex y_i and every vertex z of $X \cap R$ are nonadjacent. In both cases we have $d(z) \leq 2a-2$ for all $z \in X \cap R$, which contradicts condition B_1 since $|X \cap R| \geq 2$. Claim 3 is proved. □

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 1.8.

From Claim 3 and $d(y) \geq 2a-1$ it follows that y and every vertex of $X \cap V(C)$ form a 2-cycle. Combining this with Claim 2, we obtain that x and every vertex y_i are not adjacent, which in turn implies that

$$d(y_i) \leq 2a-2 \quad \text{and} \quad d(x) \leq 2a-2. \tag{9}$$

Note that for any x_i, $\{x, x_i\}$ is a dominating pair, since $\{x, x_i\} \to y$. This together with the second inequality of (9) and condition B_1 implies that $d(x_i) \geq 2a-1$ for all x_i. Hence, any x_i forms a 2-cycle with every vertex of Y maybe except one. If x_i and y_j form a 2-cycle and $y_j \neq y_{i-1}$,then $\{y_{i-1}, y_j\} \to x_i$ (i.e., $\{y_{i-1}, y_j\}$ is a dominating pair) which is a contradiction because of (9). Assume therefore that $d(x_i, \{y_j\}) \leq 1$ for all $y_j \neq y_{i-1}$. It follows that $y_i x_i \notin A(D)$ and $|Y \cap V(C)| = 2$, i.e., the cycle C has length to equal 4. Thus, we may assume that for any x_i there is no y_j other than y_{i-1} such that $y_j \to x_i$. We have $y_1 x_1 \notin A(D)$, $y_2 x_2 \notin A(D)$, $x_2 \to y_1$ and $x_1 \to y_2$. Therefore x_2 forms a 2-cycle with every vertex of $Y \cap R$ since $d(x_2) \geq 2a-1$ and $y_2 x_2 \notin A(D)$. Let $w \in Y \cap R$ be an arbitrary vertex other that y. Then $w \leftrightarrow x_2$ and hence, $\{y_1, w\}$ is a dominating pair. By the first inequality of (9) and condition B_1 we have $d(w) \geq 2a-1$, which in turn implies that $x \to w$ or $w \to x$. If $x \to w$, then $x_1 y x w x_2 y_2 x_1$ is a cycle of length 6. If $w \to x$, then $x_2 w x y x_1 y_1 x_2$ is a cycle of length 6. In both cases we have a longer non-Hamiltonian cycle than C, which is a contradiction and completes the discussion of Subcase 2.2. Theorem 1.8 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let $C = x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 \dots x_{a-1} y_{a-1} x_1$ be a cycle of length $2a-2$ in D, where $x_i \in X$ and $y_i \in Y$. Let the vertices x and y are not on C, where $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. $d(x) \geq 2a-2$ or $d(y) \geq 2a-2$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $d(x) \geq 2a-2$. Since $d(x) = d(x, \{y\}) + d(x, V(C))$ and since $a \geq 4$, it follows that $d(x, V(C)) \geq 2a-4 \geq a$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.3, D contains cycles of all even lengths less than or equal to $2a-2$.

Case 2. $d(x) \leq 2a-3$ and $d(y) \leq 2a-3$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x \to y_1$ since D is strong. We have, $\{x, x_1\} \to y_1$, i.e., $\{x, x_1\}$ is a dominating pair. Therefore, by condition B_0, $d(x_1) \geq 2a-2$. It is easy to see that D contains a cycle of length two since $a \geq 4$.

Assume first that there exists a vertex y_l of $V(C)$ such that x_1 and y_l are not adjacent. It is clear that $y_l \notin \{y_1, y_{a-1}\}$. Moreover, x_1 together with every vertex y_i other than y_l forms a 2-cycle. Therefore $x_1 y_1 x_2 \dots y_j x_1$ is a cycle of length $2j$ for every $j \in [1, l-1] \cup [l+1, a-1]$.

Now we will show that D contains also a cycle of length $2l$. If $3 \leq l \leq a-3$, then $x_1 y_2 x_3 \dots x_{l+1} y_{l+1} x_1$ is a cycle of length $2l$. Assume therefore that $l = a-2$ or $l = 2$. Let $l = a-2 \geq 3$. Then $x_1 \leftrightarrow y_2$ and $x_1 y_2 x_3 \dots y_{a-1} x_1$ is a cycle of length $2l = 2a-4$. If $l = a-2 = 2$, then $a = 4$ and $x_1 \leftrightarrow y$. By condition B_0, it is not difficult to see that $d(y_3) \geq 2a-2$ and $d(y_1) \geq 2a-2$ since $\{y, y_1, y_3\} \to x_1$ and $d(y) \leq 2a-3$. From this it follows that $d(y_3, \{x, x_2\}) \geq 2$, and in any possible case D contains a cycle of length 4. If $l = 2 \neq a-2$, then $x_1 \leftrightarrow y_{a-2}$ and $x_1 y_{a-2} x_{a-1} y_{a-1} x_1$ is a cycle of length 4. Thus, D contains cycles of every length $2, 4, \dots, 2a-2$.

Assume second that x_1 and every vertex y_i of $V(C)$ are adjacent. If

$$x_1 \to \{y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{a-2}\} \quad \text{or} \quad \{y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{a-2}\} \to x_1 \tag{10}$$

then it is easy to check that D contains a cycle of length $2k$ for all $k \in [1, a-2]$. Assume therefore that (10) is not true. Using the fact that $d(x_1) \geq 2a-2$, it is not difficult to see that $y_1 \to x_1 \to y_{a-1}$. Indeed, if $y_1x_1 \notin A(D)$, then from

$$2a-4 \leq d(x_1, V(C)) = d^+(x_1, \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{a-1}\}) + d^-(x_1, \{y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_{a-1}\})$$

it follows that $d^+(x_1, \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{a-1}\}) = a-1$ or $d^-(x_1, \{y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_{a-1}\}) = a-2$, which contradicts the assumption that (10) is not true. Therefore, $y_1 \to x_1$. Similarly one can show that $x_1 \to y_{a-1}$. Since x_1 and every vertex $y_i \in V(C)$ are adjacent and since (10) is false, using $y_1 \to x_1 \to y_{a-1}$, we obtain that there are two distinct vertices y_k and y_l such that $y_k \mapsto x_1$ and $x_1 \mapsto y_l$ where $2 \leq k \leq a-2$ and $2 \leq l \leq a-2$. This together with $d(x_1) \geq 2a-2$ implies that x_1 and every vertex of $Y \setminus \{y_k, y_l\}$ form a 2-cycle.

Assume first that $l < k$. Then $2 \leq l < k \leq a-2$ and

$$\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{l-1}, y_{l+1}, \ldots, y_{a-1}\} \to x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 \to \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}\}$$

Therefore, the cycle $x_1y_1x_2 \ldots x_jy_jx_1$, where $j \in [1, a-1] \setminus \{l\}$, is a cycle of length $2j$, and the cycle $x_1y_2x_3 \ldots x_{l+1}y_{l+1}x_1$ is a cycle of length $2l$. Thus D contains cycles of every length $2, 4, \ldots, 2a-2$.

Assume next that $k < l$. Then $2 \leq k < l \leq a-2$ and $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{l-1}, y_{l+1}, \ldots, y_{a-1}\} \to x_1$. From this we have that $x_1y_1x_2 \ldots x_jy_jx_1$ is a cycle of length $2j$ for all $j \in [1, l-1] \cup [l+1, a-2]$.

Now we want to show that D contains also a cycle of length $2l$. If $k \geq 3$, then $x_1 \to y_2$ and the cycle $x_1y_2x_3 \ldots x_{l+1}y_{l+1}x_1$ is a cycle of length $2l$. Assume therefore that $k = 2$. Then

$$x_1 \to \{y_3, y_4, \ldots, y_{a-1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{y_{l+1}, y_{l+2}, \ldots, y_{a-1}\} \to x_1.$$

Hence, if $l \leq a-3$, then the cycle $x_1y_3x_4 \ldots x_{l+2}y_{l+2}x_1$ is a cycle of length $2l$. Thus we have $k = 2$ and $l = a-2$. It remains to show that D contains a cycle of length $2l = 2a-4$. Recall that $y_2 \mapsto x_1$ and $x_1 \mapsto y_{a-2}$. Since $x_1 \leftrightarrow y$, it follows that $\{y, y_{a-1}\}$ is a dominating pair. By the assumption of Case 2, $d(y) \leq 2a-3$. Therefore, from condition B_0 it follows that $d(y_{a-1}) \geq 2a-2$. If $y_{a-1} \to x_2$ or $x_{a-2} \to y_{a-1}$, then $y_{a-1}x_2y_2 \ldots x_{a-1}y_{a-1}$ or $x_{a-2}y_{a-1}x_1y_1 \ldots y_{a-3}x_{a-2}$ is a cycle of length $2a-4$, respectively for $y_{a-1} \to x_2$ and $x_{a-2} \to y_{a-1}$. Assume therefore that $y_{a-1}x_2 \notin A(D)$ and $x_{a-2}y_{a-1} \notin A(D)$. Then, since $d(y_{a-1}) \geq 2a-2$, we have $y_{a-1} \leftrightarrow x$ and hence, the cycle $y_{a-1}xy_1x_1y_3 \ldots x_{a-1}y_{a-1}$ is a cycle of length $2a-4$. Thus we have shown that D contains cycles of every even length m, $2 \leq m \leq 2a-2$. Theorem 1.9 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1.10. By Theorem 1.7, either D contains a cycle of length $2a$ (i.e., D is Hamiltonian) or D is isomorphic to the digraph $D(8)$. By Theorem 1.8, if D is not a directed cycle, then it contains a cycle of length $2a-2$. Now by Theorem 1.9, D contains cycles of every even length $2k$, where $1 \leq k \leq a-1$. Therefore, if D is other than $D(8)$ and a directed cycle, then D is even pancyclic. Theorem 1.10 is proved.

References

[1] D. Amar, Y. Manoussakis, *Cycles and Paths of many lengths in bipartite digraphs*, Journal Combinatorial Theory Ser. **B 50** (1990), 254-264.

[2] J. Bang-Jensen, Y. Guo, A.Yeo, *A new sufficient condition for a digraph to be Hamiltonian*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, **95** (1999) 61-72.

[3] J. Bang-Jensen, G. Gutin, Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications, Springer, 2000.

[4] J. Bang-Jensen, G. Gutin, H. Li, *Sufficient conditions for a digraph to be Hamiltonian*, Journal of Graph Theory, **22** (20) (1996) 181-187.

[5] L.W. Beineke, C. Little, *Cycles in bipartite tournaments*, Journal Combinatorial Theory Ser. **B 32** (1) (1982) 140-145.

[6] J.A. Bondy, *Pancyclic graphs I*, Journal Combinatorial Theory Ser. **B 11** (1971) 80-84.

[7] J.A. Bondy, C. Thomassen, *A short proof of Meyniel's theorem*, Discrete Mathematics, **19** (1) (977) 195-197.

[8] S.Kh. Darbinyan, *Pancyclicity of digraphs with the Meyniel condition*, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, **20** (1-4) (1985) 95-117) (Ph. D. Thesis, Institute Mathematici Akademy Nauk BSSR, Minsk, 1981). (in Russian).

[9] S.Kh. Darbinyan, *A sufficient condition for the Hamiltonian property of digraphs with large semidegrees*, Akademy Nauk Armyan. SSR Doklady, **82** (1) (1986) 6-8 (see also arXiv: 1111.1843v1 [math.CO] 8 Nov 2011).

[10] S.Kh. Darbinyan, *On the pancyclicity of digraphs with large semidegrees*, Akademy Nauk Armyan. SSR Doklady, **83** (4) (1986) 99-101 (see also arXiv: 1111.1841v1 [math.CO] 8 Nov 2011).

[11] S.Kh. Darbinyan, *Sufficient conditions for Hamiltonian cycles in bipartite digraphs*, arXiv:1604.08733 [math. CO] 29 Apr 2016.

[12] A. Ghouila-Houri, *Une condition suffisante d'existence d'un circuit hamiltonien*, Comptes Rendus de I' Academie des Sciences Paris Ser. **A-B 25** (1960) 495-497.

[13] G. Gutin, *A characterization of vertex pancyclic partly oriented k-partite tournaments*, Vesti Acad. Navuk BSSR Ser. Fiz-mat. Navuk **2** (1989) 41-46.

[14] G. Gutin, *A characterization of vertex pancyclic and pancyclic ordinary complete multipartite digraphs*, Discrete Mathematics, **141** (1995) 153-162.

[15] R. Häggkvist, C. Thomassen, *On pancyclic digraphs*, Journal Combinatorial Theory Ser. **B 20** (1), (1976) 20-40.

[16] M. Meyniel, *Une condition suffisante d'existence d'un circuit hamiltonien dans un graphe oriente*, Journal Combinatorial Theory Ser. **B 14** (1973) 137-147.

[17] C. Thomassen, *An Ore-type condition implying a digraph to be pancyclic*, Discrete Mathematics, **19** (1) (1977) 85-92.

[18] C. Thomassen, *Long cycles in digraphs*, Proceeding London Mathematical Society, **3** (42) (1981) 231-251.

[19] R. Wang, *A sufficient conditions for a balanced bipartite digraph to be Hamiltonian*, arXiv:1506.07949 [math. CO] 26 Jun 2015.

[20] D.R. Woodall, *Sufficient conditions for circuits in graphs*, Proceeding London Mathematical Society, **24** (1972) 739-755.

[21] C.-Q. Zhang, *Vertex even-pancylicity in bipartite tournaments*, J. Nanjing Univ. Math. Biquaretely **1** (1982) 85-88.